

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,189	12/06/2001		Thomas W. Konowalchuk	LFT000 CIP1	6744
;	7590	01/29/2002			
Steven C. Petersen Hogan & Hartson, LLP Suite 1500				EXAMINER	
				HUI, SAN MING R	
1200 17th Street Denver, CO 80202				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
20	30202			1617	
			•	DATE MAIL ED: 01/29/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,189	KONOWALCHUK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Extense after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 GIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(, , ,					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pamukoff (Canadian Patent: CA 1221640 from the Information Disclosure Statement received December 6, 2001), Poli et al. (Food Chemistry 1979; 4:250-258 from the Information Disclosure Statement received December 6, 2001), Bhatia et al. (Indian Journal of Animal Sciences 1998; 68(6): 518-520 from the Information Disclosure Statement received December 6, 2001), and Simmons et al. (US Patent 5,405,602).

Pamukoff teaches that 1-10% ethyl alcohol containing composition for treating viral infections broadly, in particularly the infections that are caused by Herpes virus such as Herpes Simplex 1, Herpes Simplex 2, and common cold viruses (See particularly page 2, first paragraph; also page 7-9, Examples 2-5; also claims 1 and 2). Pamukoff also teaches that this antiviral composition can be formulated into creams (See particularly page 2, line 3).

Poli et al. teaches that glycolic acid is virucidal against herpevirus, orthomyxovirus, and Rhabdovirus (See particularly page 253, Table 1). Poli also teaches that the acid is in 0.1M concentration (See page 252, second paragraph). 0.1M of glycolic acid (Molecular Weight = 76g) is equal to 0.76 % wt.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,189

Art Unit: 1617

Bhatia et al. teaches that hydrochloric acid is effective in inactivation of goat-pox virus which is in the Poxviridae family (See particularly page 519, col. 1, Table 1 and col. 2, third paragraph).

Simmons teaches that 1,4-butanediol is useful in an antiviral method against HIV infection (See particularly claim 1).

The references do not expressly teach the employment of 1-10% ethyl alcohol or 1,4-butanediol and glycolic acid or hydrochloric acid into the same method of inactivating viruses. The references do not expressly teach the pH of the composition to be 2.45. The references do not expressly teach the weight ratio of glycolic acid to be 0.6%. The references do not expressly teach the method of inactivating molluscum contagiosum.

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art when the invention was made to employ 0.6% of glycolic acid or hydrochloric acid and 1-10% ethyl alcohol or 1,4-butanediol and adjusted the final pH to 2.45 in a method of inactivating viruses including molluscum contagiosum.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have motivated to employ 0.6% of glycolic acid or hydrochloric acid and 1-10% ethyl alcohol or 1,4-butanediol and adjusted the final pH to 2.45 in a method of inactivating viruses including molluscum contagiosum because employing two or more agents which are known to be useful to inactivating viruses individually in the same method for the very same purpose is *prima facie* obvious. See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069. Furthermore, the optimization of result effect parameters (pH, amount of the active) is obvious as being within the skill of the

Application/Control Number: 10/016,189

Art Unit: 1617

artisan, absent evidence to the contrary. In addition, hydrochloric acid is known to be useful in inactivating goat-pox virus. Therefore, employing hydrochloric acid containing composition in a method to inactivate other poxviruses including molluscum

contagiosum would have been reasonably expected to be similarly effective.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming. Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

San-ming Hui January 24, 2002

MINNA MOEZIE, J.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4